



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/603,858	06/26/2003	Sentaro Sugita	239656US3	1470	
22850	7590 03/17/2006	03/17/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			JOYCE, WILLIAM C		
	DUKE STREET KANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3682		
		DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/603,858	SUGITA, SENTARO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		William C. Joyce	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period fo							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSIGN OF THE MAILING DANS	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	<u>ecember 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the Election filed December 29, 2005 for the above identified patent application.

#### Election/Restrictions

- Claims 7-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
   1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on December 29, 2005.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I0 in the reply filed on December 29, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the PTO has not carried forward its burden of proof to establish distinctness. This is not found persuasive because an invention is considered distinct if the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process. See MPEP 806.5 (f). The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

#### **Priority**

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on June 26, 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Japanese application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/603,858 Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

## **Drawings**

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "separators" (claim 5) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3682

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "the deflectors" lacks proper antecedent basis.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Edwards (US Patent 3,143,896).

Edwards illustrates a ball screw device comprising: a nut (14) with a first thread groove, a screw (10) shaft having a second thread groove, a plural number of balls (18) between the first thread groove and the second thread groove, and a deflector (20) built into the nut to provide a ball-return path which returns the balls so as to circulate endlessly, wherein the deflector comprises a deflector piece (24) defines a top plate of the ball-return path and a guide member (26) which defines sidewalls of the ball-return path.

Art Unit: 3682

9. Claims 1, 2, and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilke (US Patent 3,771,382).

Wilke illustrates a ball screw device comprising: a nut (1) with a first thread groove, a screw (15) shaft having a second thread groove, a plural number of balls (14) between the first thread groove and the second thread groove, and a deflector (2) built into the nut to provide a ball-return path which returns the balls so as to circulate endlessly, wherein the deflector comprises a deflector piece defines a top plate (4 or 5) of the ball-return path and a guide member which defines sidewalls (4a) of the ball-return path.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Edwards (US Patent 3,143,896) or Wilke (US Patent 3,771,382) in further view of Millns (US Patent 2,468,506).

Neither Edwards or Wilke teach the screw arrangement having a plurality of deflectors spaced at equal intervals in a circumferential direction. The prior art to Millns illustrates two transfer passages (d,e) being spaced 180 degrees in a circumferential direction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 3682

invention was made to modify the screw arrangement of either Edwards or Wilke with two transfer passages spaced 180 degrees in a circumferential direction, as taught by Millns, motivation being to provide multiple ball paths so as to increase the operating capacity of the device.

12. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Edwards (US Patent 3,143,896) or Wilke (US Patent 3,771,382) in further view of Shirai et al. (US Patent 6,513,978).

Neither Edwards or Wilke teach the screw arrangement having a plurality of separators, however it was notoriously known in the art to use separators in a ball screw. For example, the prior art to Shirai et al. teaches a plurality of separators for a ball screw. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the screw arrangement of either Edwards or Wilke with separators, as taught by Shirai et al., motivation being to reduce the noise caused by the circulating balls.

13. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Edwards (US Patent 3,143,896) or Wilke (US Patent 3,771,382) in further view of Walter (US Patent 4,235,122).

Neither Edwards or Wilke teach the screw arrangement configured with a nut housing or an elastic member. The prior art to Walter teaches a ball screw device comprising a nut housing (8) that the nut is inserted therein, and an elastic member (9)

Art Unit: 3682

which is provided on an outer surface of the guide member (3), and the elastic member is engaged with an inner surface of the nut housing to fix the guide member to the nut. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify either Edwards or Wilke with a nut housing and an elastic member, as taught by Walter, motivation being to better support the deflector to the nut.

### Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the deflector of Cochrane ('777), Gagne ('030), Brusasco ('621), Brusasco ('436), Benton ('118), and Matsumoto et al. ('434).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/603,858

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C. Jovce 3/10/06